



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

Dr. Victoria Donnelly
Hazeldean R.P.O.
P.O. Box 24001
Kanata ON K2M 2C3 CA CANADA

COPY MAILED

JUL 13 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Allan Williams et al.	:	
Application No. 09/871,910	:	DECISION GRANTING
Filed: June 4, 2001	:	PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R.
Attorney Docket No.: P-001	:	\$1.137(b)
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR	:	
GENERATION VALUE ENHANCED	:	
DERIVATIVE DOCUMENT FROM A	:	
PATENT DOCUMENT	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed March 12, 2007, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)¹, to revive the above-identified application.

A final Office action was mailed on January 12, 2006. On February 28, 2006, a notice of appeal was filed, and an appeal brief was filed on April 24, 2006. A notification of non-compliant appeal brief was mailed on July 6, 2006, which indicated that the appeal brief was defective. This mailing set a 30-day extendable period for response.

¹ A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

An amended appeal brief was filed on October 4, 2006, along with a two-month extension of time.

Consequently, the present application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely and proper manner to the notification of July 6, 2006. No further extensions of time were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on October 7, 2006. A notice of abandonment was mailed on January 17, 2007.

With the present petition, Petitioner has filed the petition fee, another appeal brief, and the proper statement of unintentional delay. A terminal disclaimer is not required.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The Technology Center will be notified of this decision. The Technology Center's support staff will notify the Examiner of this decision, so that the appeal brief that was received with the present petition can be processed.

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225². All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.



Paul Shanowski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office

² Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. §1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's further action(s).